

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/864,260 | 05/25/2001 | Toshiharu Kido | 1046.1253 | 3211 |

21171 7590 09/09/2004

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

CHANNAVAJJALA, SRIRAMA T

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2177

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/864,260

Applicant(s)

KIDO, TOSHIHARU

Examiner

Srirama Channavajjala

Art Unit

2177

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to RCE

CONTINUED EXAMINATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.114 AFTER FINAL REJECTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/9/2004 has been entered, and a non-final Office action is as follows
2. Examiner acknowledges applicant's amendment filed on 6/08/2004.
3. Claims 1,11,15,21,30,34,35,44,48,51-56 have been amended dated 6/8/04.
4. Examiner acknowledges applicant's amendment filed on 1/15/2004, paper no.6.
5. Claims 1-56 are pending in this application.
6. Claims 1,11-15,21,34-50 have been amended, paper no. # 6.
7. Claims 51-56 have been added, paper no. # 6.
8. Examiner acknowledges applicant's "new Abstract" at page, paper no. # 6.

Drawings

9. The drawings filed on 8/4/2002 are approved by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.

Information Disclosure Statement

10. The information disclosure statement filed on 8/13/2001, paper no. # 2, 6/12/2002, paper no. # 3, has been considered, a copy of PTO-1449 is herewith enclosed with this office action, paper no. # 4.

Priority

11. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) based on Japanese Patent Application No. 2000-374801 filed on 12/8/2000. The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/864,260, filed on 5/25/2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

12. Claims 11-14, 15-20, 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

An Apparatus claim(s) 11-14 recite only steps, which are not in the Technological arts in that they fail to recite the steps as executed on or by a Computer.

An Apparatus claim(s) 11-14, An Apparatus comprises a control unit, a storage unit and the functional attributes thereof. The two units appear to be software per se, failing to be tangibly embodied.

A system Claim(s) 15-20,52 recite only steps, which are not in the Technological arts in that they fail to recite the steps as executed on or by a Computer.

For system Claim(s) 15-20, 52, the system comprises search unit, sequence determining unit, control unit and the functional attributes thereof. These units appear to be software per se, failing to be tangibly embodied.

Further, Claims 11-14,15-20,52 do not produce a useful, concrete, tangible result in the Computer technological arts. The invention as disclosed and claimed does not promote the progress of the useful arts. Accordingly independent Claims 11,15,52 do not define statutory subject matter.

In analyzing Claims 11,15,52, for patent eligible subject matter, it is useful to first answer the question ***“What did applicant[s] invent?”*** In re Abele, 214 USPQ 682 (CCPA 1982). While the preamble for example Claim 7,33,68 characterizes the invention as ***“An Apparatus comprising:”*** ***“A system comprising:”*** a careful reading of the specification reveals that the applicant’s invention can be best described as a System and /or an Apparatus to searching, collecting and storing that satisfy control and storage unit.

Having determined in general what the invention is, we must analyze it under the prevailing case law. The statute itself allows for the patenting of method, apparatus, and processes. However, it has been determined in many contexts that not all processes, methods, and apparatus set forth patent eligible subject matter. One test that has recently been applied is whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, tangible result. **See e.g., States Street Bank & Trust Co. v Signature**

Art Unit: 2177

Communications Inc., 47 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1998); **AT&T Corp. V Excel**

Communications Inc., 50 USPQ2d 1447 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Under that test, the invention must have practical utility, it must produce an assured result, and it must not be merely an abstraction lacking in physical substance

As explained above, examiner further noted that the term or phrase “technological arts” is synonymous with the phrase or term “useful arts” as it appears in Article I, section 8 of the Constitution. *In re Waldbaum*, 173 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1972). And for a claim to be statutory, it must be in the technological arts. *In re Musgrave*, 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970) and *In re Johnston*, 183 USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974)

Claims 12-14,16-20 dependent on Claims 11,15 are also rejected in the analysis above and rejected on that basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. Claims 1-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Legall, et al., WO 98/43183 [hereafter Legallin] in view of Zhao, US Patent No. 6081840 filed on Oct 14, 1997 .

14. As to Claims 1,21,35, 51, 53,55, Legali teaches a system which including 'an information processing system' [fig 1], 'a storage unit storing information' [fig 1, element 130], storing unit corresponds to Legall's memory as detailed in fig 1, 'a control unit' [fig 1, element 130], control unit corresponds to Legall's CPU, element 130, page 4, line 14-16, 'wherein said control unit searches locating information for locating where data exists, and judges whether or not the data located by the locating information comes under a predetermined category' [page 4, line 18-25, page 5, line 1-2, line 8-13, page 8, line 8-12, fig 3C], it is noted that Legall specifically teaches categories, sub categories that including data or topic or information listed based on the user query, especially user query having keyword(s); 'said storage unit, when the data is judged to come under the predetermined category, stores the locating information to collect pieces of locating information for locating where the data exist' [page 7, line 19-26, page 8, line 1-8].

It is however, noted that Legali does not specifically teach 'file identifier in a data file including the data', although Legali suggests searching variety of categories, subcategories topics, audio, video and that including HTML web pages, displaying multiple web sites as detailed in page 11, line 3-16. On the other hand, Zhao disclosed 'file identifier in a data file including the data' [col 6, line 4-19, fig 4, fig 6], Zhao specifically teaches HTML files and relevant multimedia data files, these files are stored in local or remote file system, further these files have full path name that is used to find its location. It is also noted that these files are organized in a table contains the file's identifier, its location and other related information as detailed in col 6, line 8-13], examiner interpreting file identifier corresponds to Zhao's fig ID as detailed in fig 4, fig 6; file extension corresponds to refFileID and RefFileFormat as detailed in fig 6 such as fig, jpg, pdf, wav and like [see fig 6].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teachings of Zhao into integrated search of electronic program guide, internet and other information resources of Legall because both Legall, Zhao are directed to search, accessing information over a network, more specifically both are directed to searching variety of information over internet [see Legall: page 2, fig 1; Zhao: fig 11, col 4, line 19-36], and both are directed to user interface. One of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Legall's fig 5 to incorporate the file system that has file table contains file's identifier, its location, and other related file information or data [see fig 4, fig 6] also as

detailed in fig 2, col 6, line 8-13 because that would have allowed users of Legall not only improving data search and retrieval, but also maximizes access speed for remote users [see col 2, line 42-49], bringing the advantages of reliability, quality and maximum performance of the system.

15. As to Claim 2, both Legall and Zhao teach a system which including 'a communication unit for accessing a network, or a communication connection module through which said communication unit is connected' [Legall: fig 3A, page 7, line 9-15; Zhao: fig 1, fig 11, col 4, line 19-36], Legall specifically teaches for example search tool or search engine that interacts with different information resources such as internet, cable broadcast, satellite broadcast that corresponds to communication connection or communication unit for accessing a network, 'control unit searches the locating information retained in other information processing system on the network' [page 6, line 2-4, 19-27, page 7, line 1-5, fig 3a-3b], Legall directed to searching on network, more specifically searching information using Internet such as Yahoo that corresponds to information processing, querying or searching on the network, further Legall also suggests user can switch from one web site to another seamlessly for searching required information as detailed in page 6, line 19-27.

16. As to Claim 3, Legall teaches a system which including 'communication unit receives a selection criterion for selecting the data' [fig 3A], 'control unit judges whether or not the data is coincident with the selection criterion and collects pieces of locating

information tracing to the data coincident with the selection criterion'
[fig 3Bpage 7, line 9-24].

17. As to Claim 4, 38, Legall teaches a system which including 'input unit receives an input of the selection criterion for selecting the data' [fig 1, element 115, fig 3A-3B, line 18-25] selection criterion for selecting the data corresponds to fig 3A, element 314; 'control unit judges whether or not the data is coincident with the selection criterion and collects pieces of locating information tracing to the data coincident with the selection criterion' [fig 3B, page 7, line 9-24].

18. As to Claim 5, 45, Legall teaches a system which including 'communication unit receives a request for collecting the locating information from a terminal device connected to the network' [fig 1], 'control unit gets the collected locating information displayed on said terminal device' [fig 3B].

19. As to Claim 6,12,25-26,31, 39-40, Legall teaches a system which including display unit for displaying the information, or a first connection module through which said display unit is connected' [fig 1, fig 3B], Legall specifically teaches displaying various information based on user search criterion as detailed in fig 3B, 'control unit gets the collected locating information displayed on said display unit' [page 7, line 23-26, page 8, line 1-2, fig 3B].

20. As to Claim 7, 10, 14, 27, 41, Legall teaches a system which including 'control unit searches character information related to the data, and gets the character information displayed in a way of being combined with the locating information' [page 8, line 1-6, line 17-20].

21. As to Claim 8, 28, 42, Legall teaches a system which including 'categories of the data are still image data, sound data, animated image data, text data, or combinations thereof' [page 7, line 23-26].

22. As to Claim 9, 13, Legall teaches a system which including 'an input unit' [fig 1, element 115], 'a display unit displaying information, or a first connection module through which said display unit is connected' [fig 1, fig 3B], display unit corresponds to fig 1, element 120, Legall specifically teaches displaying various information based on user search criterion as detailed in fig 3B, 'a sound output unit outputting sounds, or a second connection module through which said sound output unit is connected' [page 7, line 25-26, fig 3A, element 328], sound output unit outputting sounds corresponds to fig #A, element 328; 'wherein said control unit, when commanded to output the data via said input unit, gets the content described by the data outputted to said display unit or said sound output unit' [fig 3A, line 15-26].

23. As to Claim 11, Legall teaches a system which including 'a control unit requesting a server on a network to collect pieces of locating information for locating where data exist, the data located by the locating information coming under a predetermined category' [fig1-2, page 2, line 14-21, page 5, line 19-25, page 8, line 10-13, Abstract,], control unit corresponds to Legall's CPU, element 130, page 4, line 14-16; predetermined category corresponds to variety of categories and subcategories as detailed at page 8, line 10-13; as best understood by the examiner, Legall teaches internet, more specifically searching information on internet using search engines, search engine connected though either Internet or www or cable broadcast, or satellite broadcast or combination of thereof as detailed in fig 3A, element 312,310 and 308; 'a storage unit storing the locating information located' [fig 1, element 130], storing unit corresponds to Legall's memory as detailed in fig 1, 'an output device outputting the data located by the locating information' [fig 2, page 11, line 13-16], Legall specifically teaches displaying multiple web sites that corresponds to outputting the data located by the locating information; output device corresponds to display device as detailed in fig 1, element 120, fig 2.

It is however, noted that Legall does not specifically teach 'file identifier in a data file including the data', although Legall suggests searching variety of categories, subcategories topics, audio, video and that including HTML web pages, displaying multiple web sites as detailed in page 11, line 3-16. On the other hand, Zhao disclosed 'file identifier in a data file including the data' [col 6, line 4-19, fig 4, fig 6], Zhao

specifically teaches HTML files and relevant multimedia data files, these files are stored in local or remote file system, further these files have full path name that is used to find its location. It is also noted that these files are organized in a table contains the file's identifier, its location and other related information as detailed in col 6, line 8-13], examiner interpreting file identifier corresponds to Zhao's fig ID as detailed in fig 4, fig 6;

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teachings of Zhao into integrated search of electronic program guide, internet and other information resources of Legall because both Legall, Zhao are directed to search, accessing information over a network, more specifically both are directed to searching variety of information over internet [see Legall: page 2, fig 1; Zhao: fig 11, col 4, line 19-36], and both are directed to user interface. One of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Legall's fig 5 to incorporate the file system that has file table contains file's identifier, its location, and other related file information or data [see fig 4, fig 6] also as detailed in fig 2, col 6, line 8-13 because that would have allowed users of Legall not only improving data search and retrieval, but also maximizes access speed for remote users [see col 2, line 42-49], bringing the advantages of reliability, quality and maximum performance of the system.

24. As to Claim 12, Legall teaches a system which including 'display unit displaying the information, or a first connection module through which said display unit is connected' [see fig 1, element 120], 'wherein said control unit gets the collected locating information displayed on said display unit' [see fig 1, fig 2-3].

25. As to Claim 15, 34,48, 52,54,56, Legall teaches a system which including 'a communication unit accessing a network, or a communication connection module through which said communication unit is connected' [fig 3A, page 7, line 9-15], accessing a network or communication connection corresponds to Legall's search engine connected though either Internet or www or cable broadcast, or satellite broadcast or combination of thereof as detailed in fig 3A, ELEMENT 312,310,308; 'a search unit searching content data corresponding to predetermined conditions' [page 12, line 2-7, page 13, line 6-15, fig 2-3], Legall specifically teaches using search engines user can issues specific predetermined condition(s) for a specific information as detailed in page 12, line 2-7, page 13, line 6-15,'based on a character string included in a data file including the content data on the network' [page 8, line 2-10], Legall specifically teaches categories, sub categories that including data or topic or information listed based on the user query, character string corresponds to user query having keyword(s); 'a storage unit storing the locating information located' [fig 1, element 130], storing unit corresponds to Legall's memory as detailed in fig 1; 'a sequence determining unit determining a sequence of reproducing the content data searched' [see Abstract, page 11, line 3-17], Legall specifically teaches for example

multiple web sites that met the search criteria are being presented such as web site URL(s) as detailed in page 11, line 9-13, 'a reproduction control unit controlling the reproduction of the content data in accordance with the reproducing sequence' [page 11, line 17-26, page 12, line 1-7];

Further as to Claim 54,56 limitation 'searching content data coincident with a predetermined condition' [col 11, line 9-16], Legall specifically teaches firstly search is performed on the EPG using search tool as detailed at page 10, line 24-25, secondly, search or query may be performed using keywords and combination of logical operators or simply operators such as AND, OR, NOT and like that corresponds to search predetermined condition as detailed in page 13, line 8-11; thirdly, data coincident with predetermined condition is integral part of Legalli's teaching because the search results produce multiple result(s) that meet search criteria as detailed in page 11, line 9-13.

It is however, noted that Legali does not specifically teach 'file identifier in a data file including the data' and 'file extension included in a file name of a data file containing the data' [claims 52,54,56], although Legali suggests searching variety of categories, subcategories topics, audio, video and that including HTML web pages, displaying multiple web sites as detailed in page 11, line 3-16. On the other hand, Zhao disclosed 'file identifier in a data file including the data' [col 6, line 4-19, fig 4, fig 6], 'file extension included in a file name of a data file containing the data' [fig 6], Zhao specifically teaches HTML files and relevant multimedia data files, these files are stored in local or

remote file system, further these files have full path name that is used to find its location. It is also noted that these files are organized in a table contains the file's identifier, its location and other related information as detailed in col 6, line 8-13], examiner interpreting file identifier corresponds to Zhao's fig ID as detailed in fig 4, fig 6; file extension corresponds to refFileID and RefFileFormat as detailed in fig 6 such as fig, jpg, pdf, wav and like [see fig 6].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teachings of Zhao into integrated search of electronic program guide, internet and other information resources of Legall because both Legall, Zhao are directed to search, accessing information over a network, more specifically both are directed to searching variety of information over internet [see Legall: page 2, fig 1; Zhao: fig 11, col 4, line 19-36], and both are directed to user interface. One of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Legall's fig 5 to incorporate the file system that has file table contains file's identifier, its location, and other related file information or data [see fig 4, fig 6] also as detailed in fig 2, col 6, line 8-13 because that would have allowed users of Legall not only improving data search and retrieval, but also maximizes access speed for remote users [see col 2, line 42-49], bringing the advantages of reliability, quality and maximum performance of the system.

26. As to Claim 16, Legall teaches a system which including content data are retained in other system accessible via a network' [see fig 3A], network corresponds to Internet or WWW.

27. As to Claim 17, Legall teaches a system which including 'predetermined condition is specified by a user' [page 9, line 2-18].

28. As to Claim 18, Legall teaches a system which including 'predetermined condition is to specify a specific genre of the content' [page 9, line 19-25].

29. As to Claim 19, Legall teaches a system which including 'predetermined condition is to specify a specific data category' [page 10, line 3-5].

30. As to Claim 20, Legall teaches a system which including 'content data are stream data' [page 11, line 3-13].

31. As to Claim 22, 36, Legall teaches a system which including ' data are stored in an information processing system on the network' [see fig 1-3].

32. As to Claim 23-24, 33, 37, 47, 49-50, Legall teaches a system which including 'receiving a selection criterion for selecting the data' [page 6, line 21-25], 'judging whether or not the data is coincident with the selection criterion, wherein pieces of locating information tracing to the data coincident with the selection criterion are collected' [fig 3B, page 7, line 9-24].

33. As to Claim 29,32, 43, 46, Legall teaches a system which including 'selecting the data indicated by the locating information' [page 6, line 24-27, page 7, line 1-5], 'giving a command to output the data' [page 8, line 17-26]. 'outputting the content ' [fig 2], Legall specifically teaches displaying the content that corresponds to outputting the content on the screen or window.

34. As to Claim 30, 44, Legall teaches a system which including 'collecting locating information for indicating a location of data' [page 12, 2-6], location information corresponds to station number ID as detailed in page 12, line 4, 'requesting a server on the network to collect pieces of locating information for locating where data exist, the data located by the locating information coming under a predetermined category' [page 8, line 1-12, fig 3B], 'receiving the locating information' [page 11, line 9-13].

It is however, noted that Legali does not specifically teach 'file identifier in a data file including the data', although Legali suggests searching variety of categories, subcategories topics, audio, video and that including HTML web pages, displaying

multiple web sites as detailed in page 11, line 3-16. On the other hand, Zhao disclosed 'file identifier in a data file including the data' [col 6, line 4-19, fig 4, fig 6], Zhao specifically teaches HTML files and relevant multimedia data files, these files are stored in local or remote file system, further these files have full path name that is used to find its location. It is also noted that these files are organized in a table contains the file's identifier, its location and other related information as detailed in col 6, line 8-13], examiner interpreting file identifier corresponds to Zhao's fig ID as detailed in fig 4, fig 6; file extension corresponds to refFileID and RefFileFormat as detailed in fig 6 such as fig, jpg, pdf, wav and like [see fig 6].

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teachings of Zhao into integrated search of electronic program guide, internet and other information resources of Legall because both Legall, Zhao are directed to search, accessing information over a network, more specifically both are directed to searching variety of information over internet [see Legall: page 2, fig 1; Zhao: fig 11, col 4, line 19-36], and both are directed to user interface. One of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Legall's fig 5 to incorporate the file system that has file table contains file's identifier, its location, and other related file information or data [see fig 4, fig 6] also as detailed in fig 2, col 6, line 8-13 because that would have allowed users of Legall not only improving data search and retrieval, but also maximizes access speed for remote

users [see col 2, line 42-49], bringing the advantages of reliability, quality and maximum performance of the system.

Response to Arguments

35. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/9/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, for examiners' response, see discussion below:

a) At page 14, Claims 11-14,15-20, 52, regarding 35 USC 101 rejection, Examiner maintains rejection, because invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, also because as explained above, Claims 11-14, 15-20 do not produce a useful, concrete, tangible result in the Computer technological arts. The invention as disclosed and claimed does not promote the progress of the useful arts. Accordingly independent Claims 11,15,52 do not define statutory subject matter.

In analyzing Claims 11,15,52, for patent eligible subject matter, it is useful to first answer the question "***What did applicant[s] invent?***" In re Abele, 214 USPQ 682 (CCPA 1982). While the preamble for example Claim 7,33,68 characterizes the invention as "***An Apparatus comprising:***" "***A system comprising:***" a careful reading of the specification reveals that the applicant's invention can be best described as a System and /or an Apparatus to searching, collecting and storing that satisfy control and storage unit.

Having determined in general what the invention is, we must analyze it under the prevailing case law. The statute itself allows for the patenting of method, apparatus, and processes. However, it has been determined in many contexts that not all processes, methods, and apparatus set forth patent eligible subject matter. One test that has recently been applied is whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, tangible result. See e.g., States Street Bank & Trust Co. v Signature Communications Inc., 47 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1998); AT&T Corp. V Excel Communications Inc., 50 USPQ2d 1447 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Under that test, the invention must have practical utility, it must produce an assured result, and it must not be merely an abstraction lacking in physical substance

As explained above, examiner further noted that the term or phrase "technological arts" is synonymous with the phrase or term "useful arts" as it appears in Article I, section 8 of the Constitution. *In re Waldbaum*, 173 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1972). And for a claim to be statutory, it must be in the technological arts. *In re Musgrave*, 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970) and *In re Johnston*, 183 USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974)

Claims 12-14,16-20 dependent on Claims 11,15 are also rejected in the analysis above and rejected on that basis.

b) In response to Applicant's repeated assertions in the remarks at page 15, claims 21,34,48 that "Legall does not discuss or suggest "judges whether or not the

data located by the locating information comes under a predetermined category based on a file identifier in a data file including the data.....”

As to the above argument [b], as clearly stated in the above office action that, firstly, Legall is directed to integrated search tool, more specifically search tool comprising search engine that searches information on the internet [see Abstract, fig 1], secondly, Legall specifically suggests for example searching specific information based on search criteria, further search criteria including keyword, or word(s) with logical operators such as AND, OR, NOT and like as detailed in page 13, line 8-17, thirdly, Legall specifically teaches categories, sub categories that including data or topic or information listed based on the user query page 4, line 18-25, page 5, line 1-2, line 8-13, page 8, line 8-12, fig 3C].

It is however, noted that Legali does not specifically teach ‘file identifier in a data file including the data’, although Legali suggests searching variety of categories, subcategories topics, audio, video and that including HTML web pages, displaying multiple web sites as detailed in page 11, line 3-16. On the other hand, Zhao disclosed ‘file identifier in a data file including the data’ [col 6, line 4-19, fig 4, fig 6], Zhao specifically teaches HTML files and relevant multimedia data files, these files are stored in local or remote file system, further these files have full path name that is used to find its location. It is also noted that these files are organized in a table contains the file’s identifier, its location and other related information as detailed in col 6, line 8-13], examiner interpreting file identifier corresponds to Zhao’s fig ID as detailed in fig 4, fig 6;

Art Unit: 2177

file extension corresponds to refFileID and RefFileFormat as detailed in fig 6 such as fig, jpg, pdf, wav and like [see fig 6].

Similarly independent claims 11, 15, 21, 30, 34, 35, 44, 48 51-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Legall, et al., WO 98/43183 [hereafter Legallin] in view of Zhao, US Patent No. 6081840 filed on Oct 14, 1997, further examiner applies above arguments to the dependent claims

Conclusion

The prior art made of record

- a. WO 98/43183
- b. US Patent No. 6081840

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure

- b. US Patent No. 6486892
- c. US Patent No. 6163316
- d. US Patent No. 6351467
- e. US Patent No. 6081263
- f. US Patent No. 6460181
- g. US Patent No. 6486892
- h. WO 00/26762

Art Unit: 2177

i. Aseel Ibrahim et al., Multimodal Dialogue systems for interactive TV applications, publishing date unknown, pp 1-6


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srirama Channavajjala whose telephone number is (703) 308-8538. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM Eastern Time. The TC2100's Customer Service number is (703) 306-5631.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene, can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone numbers for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

703/872-9306

(Official Communications)

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

sc 
Patent Examiner.
September 7, 2004.